

Kolak, Shari

From: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ) <WAGAWW@michigan.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 3:05 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review



I am sorry about that. But the meeting was very helpful. Most of the questions were answered but the TAPS Team had some questions related to the Ordinance which we can talk about more at the April meeting in Kalamazoo. A couple Action Items:

1. EPA to send cards/letters to the residents at Chaddsford Way telling them of informational/availability meeting.
2. TAPS Team to provide their written comments to me on the GRUZ/ESD so I can incorporate them into the SRT comments on the ESD and send them to you.

Thanks.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Kolak, Shari [mailto:kolak.shari@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 3:50 PM
To: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Wally,

It was hard to hear the State's questions during the call. Did the meeting help address any of the SRT/TAPs concerns?

From: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ) <WAGAWW@michigan.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 2:21 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Hi Shari,

Did you send the DRAFT FYRR for our review? I just wanted to make sure I am not missing anything. Thanks.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Kolak, Shari [mailto:kolak.shari@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 4:29 PM
To: Brian Eklov
Cc: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Brian,

We placed an ad in the Kalamazoo Gazette on August 2, 2013. There is no formal/official (public hearing and public comment period) for a five year review. As part of the five year review, we interview the community and other stakeholders and document this in the five year review report. EPA and MDEQ are conducting a site inspection at the landfill on April 3rd. We have a meeting with Bill Gierke before the inspection. I'd be happy to meet with you afterwards and/or you can send me your comments. Shari

From: Brian Eklov <eklov@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 3:44 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Cc: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)
Subject: Re: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Shari:

I was just thinking about the 5 year review, and I have seen no notice of it anywhere, not even on the EPA website. As I said quite some time ago, I have comments to make, but based upon our earlier email I was expecting to hear about a public comment period. What's the current status?

Thank you.

Regards,

-Brian.

On Jul 15, 2013, at 11:53 AM, Kolak, Shari <kolak.shari@epa.gov> wrote:

> Brian,

>

> The second five-year review (FYR) will need to be completed by May 2014. I will send out a public notice to the community and letter to the State end of July notifying everyone that we are starting the FYR process. Typically within 9 to 6 months (August/September) of the FYR due date, EPA conducts interviews with the community, city and local officials and conducts a site inspection. By November/December, I should have a draft FYR developed for review by our attorneys, State, etc.

>

> Your more than welcome to provide comments/feedback on the current MNA remedy.

>

> In regard to the PRP argument that contamination at 10711 is due to PVC glue, EPA and the State don't agree and our position is that contamination in this well is site-related.

>

> Please call or e-mail me if you have additional questions. Shari

>

>

>

> From: Brian Eklov <eklov@mac.com>

> Sent: Saturday, July 13, 2013 9:36 AM

> To: Kolak, Shari

> Subject: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

>

> Shari:

>

> I have been going through the materials you sent me at the end of March, and I have two questions for you.

>

> 1. It looks like the first five-year review was completed in May of 2009. What is the anticipated timeline for the second five-year review? I will want to provide comment and feedback on the current MNA-based remediation plan during the upcoming review process.

>

> 2. Is the KLA Group really trying to argue that the source of the hotspot previously observed near the intersection of Wickford and West Main was caused by PVC glue in that well? I have read the MSDS for many PVC glues and NONE of them contain ALL of the chemicals observed making it a near-zero chance that this contamination came from a source that isn't the already existing plume. Has the adhesive used been identified? Or the contractor?

>

> Thank you for your time.

>

> Kind Regards,

>

> -Brian Eklov.

> 1456 Wickford Drive

> Kalamazoo, MI 49009

> (269)929-7106 (cell)

> eklov@mac.com

>

Kolak, Shari

From: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ) <WAGAWW@michigan.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 2:22 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Hi Shari,

Did you send the DRAFT FYRR for our review? I just wanted to make sure I am not missing anything. Thanks.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Kolak, Shari [mailto:kolak.shari@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 4:29 PM
To: Brian Eklov
Cc: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)
Subject: RE: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Brian,

We placed an ad in the Kalamazoo Gazette on August 2, 2013. There is no formal/official (public hearing and public comment period) for a five year review. As part of the five year review, we interview the community and other stakeholders and document this in the five year review report. EPA and MDEQ are conducting a site inspection at the landfill on April 3rd. We have a meeting with Bill Gierke before the inspection. I'd be happy to meet with you afterwards and/or you can send me your comments. Shari

From: Brian Eklov <eklov@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 3:44 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Cc: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)
Subject: Re: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review

Shari:

I was just thinking about the 5 year review, and I have seen no notice of it anywhere, not even on the EPA website. As I said quite some time ago, I have comments to make, but based upon our earlier email I was expecting to hear about a public comment period. What's the current status?

Thank you.

Regards,

-Brian.

On Jul 15, 2013, at 11:53 AM, Kolak, Shari <kolak.shari@epa.gov> wrote:

> Brian,

>
> The second five-year review (FYR) will need to be completed by May 2014. I will send out a public notice to the community and letter to the State end of July notifying everyone that we are starting the FYR process. Typically within 9 to 6 months (August/September) of the FYR due date, EPA conducts interviews with the community, city and local officials and conducts a site inspection. By November/December, I should have a draft FYR developed for review by our attorneys, State, etc.
>
> Your more than welcome to provide comments/feedback on the current MNA remedy.
>
> In regard to the PRP argument that contamination at 10711 is due to PVC glue, EPA and the State don't agree and our position is that contamination in this well is site-related.
>
> Please call or e-mail me if you have additional questions. Shari
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Brian Eklov <eklov@mac.com>
> Sent: Saturday, July 13, 2013 9:36 AM
> To: Kolak, Shari
> Subject: KL Ave Landfill - Second Five Year Review
>
> Shari:
>
> I have been going through the materials you sent me at the end of March, and I have two questions for you.
>
> 1. It looks like the first five-year review was completed in May of 2009. What is the anticipated timeline for the second five-year review? I will want to provide comment and feedback on the current MNA-based remediation plan during the upcoming review process.
>
> 2. Is the KLA Group really trying to argue that the source of the hotspot previously observed near the intersection of Wickford and West Main was caused by PVC glue in that well? I have read the MSDS for many PVC glues and NONE of them contain ALL of the chemicals observed making it a near-zero chance that this contamination came from a source that isn't the already existing plume. Has the adhesive used been identified? Or the contractor?
>
> Thank you for your time.
>
> Kind Regards,
>
> -Brian Eklov.
> 1456 Wickford Drive
> Kalamazoo, MI 49009
> (269)929-7106 (cell)
> eklov@mac.com
>